

Application of Big Data in Personnel, Financial, and Logistics Management at Private Universities

Yong Wu

Communication University of China, Nanjing, Information Centre, Nanjing, Jiangsu, 210000, China

ABSTRACT

Big data technologies are driving the transformation of personnel, financial, and logistical management in private universities toward refined, integrated models. To break free from the constraints of traditional experience-based management on core competitiveness, institutions must confront bottlenecks arising from cross-departmental data silos, causing value isolation, weak analytical capabilities leading to inadequate decision support, and outdated organizational structures. The fundamental solution lies in establishing an integrated data platform, deploying intelligent analytical decision-making systems, and concurrently implementing data-driven organizational restructuring and process reengineering. This process represents a philosophical leap in management paradigms, forming the strategic infrastructure for sustainable development in private universities.

KEYWORDS

Big data; Private higher education institutions; Human resources; Finance; Logistics management

1 Introduction

Amidst the dual pressures of higher education popularisation and intensified market competition, private higher education institutions face significant survival challenges. Unlike public institutions with systemic safeguards, their market-oriented nature demands that operational efficiency, cost control, and service quality form the core dimensions of their competitive advantage. Existing management approaches rely on experiential decision-making models, heavily dependent on individual managers' intuition and past experiences. While this paradigm remained adaptable during the institutions' small-scale, stable phases, its inherent flaws—decision-making lag, subjectivity, and lack of precision—have accelerated with scale expansion and diversified demands, hindering the realization of high-quality, substantive development strategies. Concurrently, the data technology revolution is reshaping the foundational logic of organizational management: massive data accumulation and computational power advancements now enable precise quantification and correlation analysis of complex management processes. Against this backdrop, analysing the structural tension between traditional governance paradigms and the potential of data technology, and exploring technological solutions to overcome management challenges, has become the core imperative for advancing the modernisation of governance in private universities. Conducting an in-depth diagnosis of existing systemic contradictions and constructing pathways for modern transformation form the critical foundation for driving sustainable development.

2 Big Data: The Inevitable Choice for Refining Management in Private Universities

2.1 Limitations of Traditional Experience-Based Management and Development Needs of Private Universities

While historically adaptive during their founding stages, traditional experience-based management models in private universities have systemic governance flaws rooted in individual administrators' intuition:

Human resources face dual challenges of formalized performance evaluations and inaccurate identification of high-potential talent; financial scenarios reveal dynamic resource allocation imbalances stemming from reliance on historical benchmarks; and logistics systems remain mired in the efficiency black hole of reactive operations. Such management lag and fragmentation, born from unstructured information environments, have evolved into critical developmental barriers in today's context, where competition for students intensifies alongside rising demands for educational quality. Private universities' survival and development logic under tight resource constraints necessitates a fundamental shift in governance paradigms—from extensive, pattern-based expansion to precision-driven management systems. This requires establishing a new governance architecture grounded in quantitative assessment benchmarks, guided by precise forecasting, and delivering scientific decision-making. This is the core element for breaking free from experiential constraints to achieve resource optimization and iterative decision-making ^[1].

2.2 Data-Driven Decision-Making: The Core Shift to Enhance Integrated Management Efficiency in Personnel, Finance, and Logistics

The fragmented management silos created by isolated personnel, finance, and logistics systems within traditional university structures severely undermine organizational operational efficiency. Given the inherent coupling between talent recruitment and salary budgeting alongside spatial resource allocation, the original cross-departmental coordination relied on inefficient meeting-based workflows, fostering dual risks of decision-making delays and information distortion. The data-driven model establishes unified data standards and a shared foundation, translating holistic business elements into quantifiable, universal language: teaching and research data chains interface with financial cost flow models to achieve precise mapping between performance evaluation and resource allocation; dorm occupancy curves collide with equipment energy consumption dynamics to generate predictive maintenance decision matrices. This transformation fundamentally uses holistic data flow as an engine to drive deep coupling between departmental function deconstruction and end-to-end business process reconstruction, evolving the management paradigm from fragmented authority allocation to integrated business collaboration.

2.3 Precision Management: An Internal Requirement for Private Universities to Enhance Core Competitiveness in Market Competition

The core dimensions for private universities to build competitive advantage in the market are concentrated in factors such as educational quality, brand reputation, and sustainable profitability—all requiring foundational support from precision management ^[2]. Its essence lies in leveraging data technology to achieve precise quantitative analysis of management subjects and processes, thereby optimizing resource allocation efficiency: In human resources management, constructing multidimensional faculty competency models enables precise role-person matching and personalized development pathways; In financial control, it transcends traditional budgetary constraints by linking per-student cost analysis with employment quality metrics, dynamically adjusting program offerings and resource allocation structures; in logistics operations, it leverages energy consumption monitoring and student behavior data to achieve precise catering planning and intelligent facility regulation. These micro-level continuous improvements reduce systemic operational losses, freeing resources to enhance teaching quality. At its core, precision management is a data-driven process of value transformation. Converting fragmented management actions into a complete decision-making loop ultimately crystallises an irreparable barrier to core competitiveness.

3 Current Practical Bottlenecks in Applying Big Data to Integrated Management in Private Universities

3.1 Isolated Value of Management Data Assets Due to Cross-Departmental Data Silos

Private universities face structural barriers in cross-departmental data collaboration, primarily manifested in heterogeneous data ecosystems resulting from independently developed HR, finance, and logistics systems. The technical root cause lies in the absence of unified metadata standards and API interoperability protocols across core management systems. This leads to the fragmented distribution of critical assets such as faculty/staff records, payroll transaction data, and facility maintenance logs. This physical data isolation creates systemic management blind spots: when evaluating faculty contributions, the inability to effectively correlate multidimensional data—such as teaching workloads (HR system), research expenditure (finance system), and lab equipment usage (logistics system)—compromises the accuracy of human capital valuation. The intrinsic value of data assets lies in holistic, cross-factor insights. Yet the current fragmented state permits only basic statistical operations, systematically crippling critical decision support functions. Therefore, dismantling departmental data silos and establishing cross-domain data governance mechanisms is the primary challenge in realizing the value-added potential of management data assets.

3.2 Insufficient Data Analytics Capabilities Lead to Deep-seated Decision Support Deficiencies

Private universities face a structural shortage of data analytics capabilities, causing big data applications to stagnate at basic statistical levels. The core conflict centres on two bottlenecks: First, a shortage of interdisciplinary talent—professionals proficient in educational management practices and data modelling techniques—creates a cognitive gap between business needs and technical supply. Second, outdated analytical tools prevail, with most institutions relying on traditional statistical software for descriptive analysis that merely reflects current operational states ^[3]. Advanced applications requiring clustering algorithms and time-series modelling—such as multi-factor coupling analysis for academic early warning systems or dynamic enrollment forecasting—cannot be supported by the current technical framework. This capability vacuum traps data value at the reporting and statistical level, preventing its refinement into

knowledge graphs that drive strategic decision-making. This constitutes a critical constraint on the transformation toward intelligent management.

3.3 Lagging Constraints of Traditional Organisational Structures and Management Processes on Data-Driven Models

The rigid characteristics of private higher education institutions' organizational structures and decision-making processes create systemic barriers to agile, data-driven governance. Existing bureaucratic hierarchies reinforce vertical command transmission and solidify departmental boundaries, fundamentally conflicting with the flat collaboration models required for cross-domain data flows. Specifically, when service satisfaction monitoring data triggers warning signals, traditional structures require multi-level meetings and formal document approval processes, causing critical response windows to be missed. Simultaneously, decision-making inertia rooted in experience and departmental interest barriers often triggers defensive rejection of unconventional data insights. This deep misalignment between organizational codes and data governance logic creates two significant risks: First, the value of real-time data continuously diminishes during lengthy processes. Second, a high-speed iterative decision-making loop cannot be established. Without simultaneously driving networked organizational restructuring and streamlining approval processes, the strategic value of big data systems will remain confined to the level of technological displays.

4 Establishing a Big Data-Driven Pathway for Integrated Smart Management in Higher Education Institutions

4.1 Building an Integrated Data Sharing Platform for Personnel, Finance, and Logistics

The primary foundation for achieving innovative management in private universities is establishing a cross-domain data sharing hub. This platform must deeply integrate core system data resources from personnel, finance, and logistics. Its construction logic goes beyond merely physically connecting existing systems. Instead, it involves implementing comprehensive metadata standards and a data governance framework to cleanse, transform, and semantically standardize heterogeneous data, ensuring data quality and consistency. The core architecture lies in creating a unified entity-relationship model that logically links elements scattered across various systems—such as faculty and staff records, funding flow records, and facility asset statuses—to build a panoramic view of management objects. Taking faculty development evaluation as an example, the platform can integrate appointment information, salary components, research funding structures, and equipment utilization efficiency to form a comprehensive evaluation matrix. The platform requires designing an interoperable application programming interface (API) service layer to support upper-level application calls, fundamentally transforming data from a business appendage into a reusable strategic asset ^[4]. Building this data foundation not only breaks down information silos but also provides multidimensional data fusion support for intelligent decision-making.

4.2 Establishing Intelligent Analysis and Visualisation Applications for Management Decision-making

Building a decision-oriented intelligent analysis system based on the cross-domain data platform requires breaking free from traditional reporting constraints and focusing on solving management scenario problems. This system should comprise core modules: First, a multidimensional dynamic analysis module enables management entities to slice and probe indicators by time series, organizational units, professional domains, and other dimensions, revealing underlying patterns and abnormal fluctuations; Second, the prediction and early warning module integrates time-series forecasting and classification algorithms to enable proactive interventions in critical scenarios such as enrollment projection, financial risk assessment, and faculty retention evaluation. Third, the intelligent diagnostics module employs automated anomaly root-cause analysis to identify influencing factor weight distributions and generate decision parameters. A visualization engine must be configured to accommodate non-technical decision-makers' cognitive characteristics. This converts complex analytical conclusions into actionable insights through spatial heatmaps, relational topology diagrams, and other visual representations. This builds a data-driven decision support paradigm, empowering multi-level managers with continuous decision-making capabilities.

4.3 Organizational Structure Optimization and Business Process Reengineering Based on Data Insights

Deep application of big data in private universities necessitates a spiral-shaped co-evolution of technical systems and organizational structures. Foundational transformation begins with quantitative diagnosis of business processes, precisely identifying critical bottlenecks—such as delays in multi-departmental approvals for research expense reimbursements—

by mapping business flow diagrams. This progresses to rule reconstruction, redefining approval authority thresholds and developing electronic signature workflow engines to replace paper-based processes. Ultimately, the system consolidation phase achieves dual efficiency leaps: intensifying administrative cost efficiency and optimizing service experiences. More profound organizational transformation requires breaking traditional hierarchical structures by establishing university-level academic risk prevention consortia. Led by the Vice President in charge, these integrate deans from academic affairs offices, student affairs specialists, and faculty counsellors into vertical response teams. Establishing closed-loop academic warning and resolution systems within defined timeframes fundamentally shifts governance paradigms from hierarchical control to data-empowered decision-making ^[5]. The ultimate impact of institutional innovation manifests as a transformation of organizational cognitive DNA. This is achieved by establishing a data literacy certification system for administrators, implementing monthly public reporting on departmental data application effectiveness, publishing major decision-making processes, and releasing retrospective analysis annual reports. These measures drive a complete shift from an experience-driven culture to an evidence-based decision-making model. The sustainable evolution mechanism relies on four pillars: dynamic process efficiency monitoring, cross-departmental collaborative incentive systems for platforms, digital leadership cultivation, and an engineering management knowledge graph repository. Together, these form a self-optimizing digital governance ecosystem.

5 Conclusion

Big data technology presents a historic opportunity for private universities to break traditional management constraints and establish refined operational systems. At the macro level, data-driven decision-making transformation has become a structural imperative for private institutions to enhance management efficiency and core competitiveness. However, current practices commonly encounter obstacles: data silos impede value circulation, insufficient analytical capabilities weaken decision support, and rigid organizational structures constrain responsiveness. Successful transformation requires a systematic breakthrough strategy: concurrently building cross-domain data integration platforms and intelligent analytics systems, while leveraging data insights as the engine to drive business process reengineering and organizational restructuring.

About the Author

Yong Wu, Bachelor's Degree, Assistant Laboratory Technician, Research Focus: Software Engineering.

References

- [1] Guo, Y. (2024). An exploration of management accounting system construction in higher education institutions under big data conditions: A review of Theory and application research of management accounting in higher education institutions. *Contemporary Finance and Economics*, (4), 2–165.
- [2] Liu, Y. (2025). Optimizing and innovating university human resource management in the big data context. *Mass Investment Guide*, (22), 111–113.
- [3] Wang, N., Kong, M., & Yu, X. (2022). Research on organisational processes and implementation pathways for digitising personnel archives in higher education institutions under big data conditions. *Information Science*, 40(11), 103–109.
- [4] Xu, Y. (2022). Construction and application of knowledge graphs in university financial auditing. *Accounting Communication*, (15), 118–122.
- [5] Zhao, J., & Lu, J. (2022). Theory and practice of accounting education reform in higher education institutions under big data conditions: A review of Fundamentals of financial big data. *Chinese Science and Technology Papers*, 17(4), 486.